



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/608,661

06/27/2003

David W. Koenig

KCC 4948 (K-C 17,907)

7070

321 7590 10/16/2007

SENNIGER POWERS
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE
16TH FLOOR
ST LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

HAND, MELANIE JO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3761

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/16/2007

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10608661	6/27/2003	KOENIG ET AL.	KCC 4948 (K-C 17,907)

EXAMINER

Melanie J. Hand

SENNIGER POWERS
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE
16TH FLOOR
ST LOUIS, MO 63102

ART UNIT

PAPER

3761

20071010

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply brief filed October 5, 2007 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

Applicant's reply brief consists in its entirety of arguments previously presented in the appeal brief filed April 23, 2007 or at other points in the prosecution history, and are arguments which have been addressed in the Examiner's Answer mailed August 15, 2007. Applicant provides no new and persuasive arguments that are sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103. As such, no supplemental examiner's answer will be provided as such supplemental examiner's answer is provided only to address new issues presented by applicant or by the Office in an examiner's answer. See MPEP 1208 (II).

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

MJH

The reply brief filed October 5, 2007 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

As applicant's reply brief consists in its entirety of arguments previously presented in the appeal brief filed April 23, 2007, arguments which have been addressed in the Examiner's Answer mailed August 15, 2007, and provides no new arguments that are sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103, no supplemental examiner's answer will be provided as such supplemental examiner's answer is not provided as a matter of right. See MPEP 1208 (II).